

Panaji, 31st March, 2011 (Chaitra 10, 1933)

SERIES II No. 53



OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Agriculture

Directorate of Agriculture

—

Order

No. 8/31/2007/D.Agro/76

Read: Order No. 8/31/2007/D.Agro/51 dated 08-03-2010.

Government is pleased to extend the deputation of Shri Orlando Rodrigues, Dy. Director of Agriculture to the post of Managing Director, Goa State Horticultural Corporation Ltd., Panaji, for a period of one year (second year), with effect from 08-03-2011 (b.n.) to 07-03-2012.

The deputation of Shri Orlando Rodrigues, shall be governed by standard terms and conditions of deputation as contained in the OM No. 13/4/74-PER dated 12-02-1999 and amended from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. S. P. Tendulkar, Director of Agriculture & Joint Secretary (ex officio).

Tonca, Caranzalem, 24th March, 2011.

◆◆◆

Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs

—

Corrigendum

Ref. No.: DCS/S/Price Rise/08-271/10-11/295 A

Government is pleased to constitute the Committee to control the price rise under the Government Intervention for Control of Price Rise

Scheme, 2008 comprising of the following members:-

1. Hon'ble Chief Minister — Chairman.
2. Hon'ble Minister for Civil Supplies
3. Shri Manohar Parrikar, Hon'ble MLA
4. Shri Damodar Naik, Hon'ble MLA
5. Shri Pandurang alias Deepak Dhavlikar, Hon'ble MLA
6. Assistant Director of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs — Member Secretary.

This Corrigendum shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. P. Pilarnekar, Director of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 21st March, 2011.

◆◆◆

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

No. 42/1/2008/TS/RCS

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1), (2) and (4) of Section 114 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 (Goa Act No. 36 of 2001), (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby constitutes the Goa Co-operative Tribunal to exercise the powers and to discharge the functions conferred on it by or under the said Act, and appoints the President and the Additional President of the Administrative Tribunal appointed under the Goa

Administrative Tribunal Act, 1965 (Goa Act No. 6 of 1965), to be the President and the Additional President of Goa the Co-operative Tribunal, respectively, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. K. Velip Kankar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies and ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 23rd March, 2011



Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, Kopardem Women Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Kopardem, Valpoi, Satari-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-4/SHG-/NZ/Goa.

R. A. Pednekar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 7th March, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

Kopardem Women Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Kopardem, Valpoi, Satari-Goa has been registered on 7-03-2011 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-4/SHG/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "General Society" in terms of Rule 8(1) (12) and sub-classified as "Other Society" under sub-rule 12 (c) of Rule 8 (1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

R. A. Pednekar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 7th March, 2011.

Notification

No. 2-10-2010/AR/(Dairy)/Gen

In exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, Mahadev Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Padal, Bethoda, Ponda-Goa is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(C)-2/AR(Dairy)/Goa.

V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Dairy).

Ponda, 17th February, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

Mahadev Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Padal, Bethoda, Ponda-Goa has been registered on 17th February, 2011 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(C)-2/AR(Dairy)/Goa and it is classified as 'General Society' 'Other General Society' under sub-classification No. 12(c) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Dairy).

Ponda, 17th February, 2011.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/Urban-Credit/360/ADM/11

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Dayanand Urban Co-operative Credit Society Ltd.", IIInd floor "Prabhu Tower" Upper Bazar, Ponda-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/Credit/10(a)/138/Goa.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 21st February, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

"The Dayanand Urban Co-operative Credit Society Ltd.", IIInd floor "Prabhu Tower" Upper Bazar, Ponda-Goa is registered on 21-02-2011 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/Credit/10(a)/138/Goa and it is classified as "Resource Society" under sub-classification No. 10(a) as "Credit Resource Society," in terms of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 21st February, 2011.

Notification

No. 5-1293-2011-ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Tavish Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Limited," Near Don Bosco Technical Institute, Fatorda, Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(d)-790/South Goa/2011.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 10th March, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

"The Tavish Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Limited," Near Don Bosco Technical Institute, Fatorda, Margao-Goa has been registered on 10-3-2011 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(d)-790/South Goa/2011 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(d)-Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 10th February, 2011.

Notification

No. 5-1294-2011/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Lotus Plaza Co-operative Housing Society Limited," Sanguem, Curchorem Road, Pontemol, Curchorem-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-788/South Goa/2011.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 2nd March, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

"The Lotus Plaza Co-operative Housing Society Limited," Sanguem, Curchorem Road, Pontemol, Curchorem-Goa has been registered on 2-3-2011 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-788/South Goa/2011 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 2nd March, 2011.

Notification

No. 5-1295-2011/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Pradeep Apartment Co-operative Housing Society Limited," Near Don Bosco Technical Institute, Fatorda, Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-789/South Goa/2011.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 7th March, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

"The Pradeep Apartment Co-operative Housing Society Limited," Near Don Bosco Technical Institute, Fatorda, Margao-Goa has been registered on 7-3-2011 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-789/South Goa/2011 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 7th March, 2011.



Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Education
(School Education)

Order

No. 1(2)-9-2003/SE/Part/67

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/15(1)/09/323 dated 20-01-2011, Government is pleased to promote on regular basis the following Teacher Grade-I/Senior Instructor/ADEIs/Headmaster Government Middle School to the post of Vice-Principal, Teacher's Training College/Headmaster of Government High School/Vice-Principal, Government Higher Secondary School in the Directorate of Education in the pay scale of Rupees PB—2, 9,300-34,800+Grade Pay 4,800/- with immediate effect.

1. Smt. Ana Grace D'Souza.
2. Smt. Jyotsna Sarin.
3. Smt. Bertha Sardinha.
4. Smt. Rajashree S. Haldankar @ Redkar.
5. Shri Sudesh N. Naik.
6. Shri Sudan Fati Naik Gaonkar.
7. Smt. Bharati Falari.
8. Smt. Regina M. Carvalho Dias.
9. Shri Abdul Munaf Gudaji.

They shall be on probation for a period of two years.

Consequent upon the above promotion, the following posting of the Vice-Principal, Teachers Training College/Headmaster, Government High

OFFICIAL GAZETTE — GOVT. OF GOA

SERIES II No. 53

31ST MARCH, 2011

School/Vice-Principal Government Higher
Secondary School are ordered as below:-

Sr. No.	Name & Designation of the Officer	Place where presently working	Place of posting as
1	2	3	4
1.	Smt. Ana Grace D'Souza, Teacher Grade-I	Government Higher Secondary School, Pernem	Headmistress, Govt. High School, Dadachiwadi, Dhargal, Pernem.
2.	Smt. Jyotsna Sarin, Teacher Grade-I	Dr. T. B. Higher Secondary School, Panaji	Headmistress, Govt. High School, Pisssurlem, Satari.
3.	Smt. Bertha Sardinha, Teacher Grade-I	Govt. Multi-purpose Higher Secondary School, Margao	Headmistress, Govt. High School, Netorlim, Sanguem.
4.	Smt. Rajashree S. Haldankar @ Redkar, Teacher Grade-I	Govt. Higher Secondary School, Pernem	Headmistress, Govt. High School, Dabem, Satari.
5.	Shri Sudesh N. Naik, Teacher Grade-I	Govt. Higher Secondary School, Canacona	Headmaster, Govt. High School, Sadolxem, Canacona.
6.	Shri Sudan Fati Naik Gaonkar, Teacher Grade-I	D.I.E.T., Porvorim	Headmaster, Govt. High School, Agarwada, Pernem.
7.	Smt. Bharati Falari, Teacher Grade-I	D.I.E.T., Porvorim	Headmistress, Govt. High School, Mencurem, Bicholim.
8.	Smt. Regina M. Carvalho Dias, Teacher Grade-I	Govt. Multi-purpose Higher Secondary School, Margao	Headmistress, Govt. High School, Gaondongrem, Canacona.

1	2	3	4
9.	Shri Abdul Munaf Gudaji, A.D.E.I.	Office Tiswadi	Headmaster, Govt. High School, Maina, Quepem.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. Celsa Pinto, Ex officio Joint Secretary, (School Education).

Panaji, 23rd March, 2011.

◆
Directorate of Technical Education
(Establishment Section)

Order

No. DTE/Estt/1-10/C-P/GEDC/8/937

Read: 1. Order No. DTE/Estt/1-10/C-P/GEDC/1195 dated 30-07-200.

2. Order No. GEDC/GA/67/2009-10/1104 dated 01-12-2009.

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed for the creation of one post of General Manager in the pay scale of Rs. 15,600-39,100+GP 6,600/- by abolishing the existing one post of Manager (Accounts & Administration) in the Goa Education Development Corporation.

Consequent to abolishing the post of Manager (Accounts & Administration) Shri Brijesh Suresh Shirodkar, holder of the post is hereby appointed as General Manager in the pay scale of Rs. 15,600-39,100+GP 6,600/- with immediate effect.

The expenditure on this account shall be debited to the Demand No. 36, Budget Head 2203—Technical Education, 103—Technical Schools, 10—Goa Education Development Corporation (Plan), Grant-in-aid.

This issues with the approval of the Administrative Reforms Department vide their U.O. No. 1882/F dated 25-11-2010, concurrence of the Finance (Rev. and Control) Department vide their U.O. No. 1426560/F dated 24-02-2011 and the approval of the Cabinet.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vivek B. Kamat, Director of Technical Education and ex officio Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 24th March, 2011.

Directorate of Museum

Order

No. 1/105/2005-06/DM-1297

In pursuance to clause 5(2) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, the following officials are hereby appointed as Public Information Officer and Assistant Public Information Officer at the Head Office, for the Directorate of Museum to deal with the application received from the Public under Right to Information Act, 2005.

1. Shri Premanand R. Public Information Officer, Kunkalekar, Directorate of Museum. Head Clerk Tel No. 2438006
2. Shri Sachin B. Assistant Public Information Officer. Bandodkar, L.D.C. Tel. No. 2438006

The Public Information Officer shall be responsible for the preparation and publication of the manuals from time to time as per the said Act and deal with the application received by him under the Right Information Act, 2005 on top priority so as to furnish the information to the applicant within the stipulated period and within the provisions of the Act.

Radha R. Bhave, Director of Museum.

Panaji, 23rd March, 2011.



Department of Finance

Audit Division

Notification

No. 1-42-2007/Fin(Audit)

The Governor of Goa in consultation with the Comptroller & Auditor General of India is pleased to entrust in public interest, the audit of the accounts of Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation (GTIDC) under Section 19(3) of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971 (Central Act No. 56 of 1971) to the Comptroller & Auditor General of India for a further period of 4 years from 1-4-2012 to 31-03-2016 on the terms and conditions specified in the Annexure appended hereto.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Addl. Secretary Finance (Budget).

Porvorim, 21st March, 2011.

ANNEXURE

Terms and Conditions

1. The CAG of India may suggest the appointment of a primary auditor to conduct the audit on his behalf and on the basis of directions/guidelines issued by him. Where such an auditor is appointed, the fees will be payable by the Institution to that auditor. Where such an auditor is not appointed, expenditure incurred by CAG of India in connection with the audit will be payable to him by the Institution.
2. In addition to audit to be conducted by the primary Auditors, where so appointed, CAG of India will have the right to conduct test check of the accounts and to comment on and supplement the report of the primary Auditor.
3. The CAG of India or any person appointed by him in connection with the audit, shall have the same rights, privileges and authority as the CAG has in connection with the audit of Government accounts.
4. The result of audit will be communicated by CAG or any person appointed by him to the Governing body who shall submit a copy of the report alongwith its observations to the Government. The CAG will also forward a copy of the report direct to Government.
5. The Audit entrusted to the CAG in public interest will be for a period of 5 years accounts from 1-4-2012 to 31-3-2016 in the first instance, subject to review of the arrangement after that period.
6. The scope, extent and manner of conducting audit shall be as decided by the CAG of India.
7. The CAG will have the right to report to Parliament/ /State Legislature the results of audit at his discretion.

Notification

No. 1-17-2007/Fin(Audit)

The Governor of Goa in consultation with the Comptroller & Auditor General of India is pleased to entrust in public interest, the audit of the accounts of Goa Housing Board, Porvorim-Goa under Section 19(3) of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India's Act, 1971, to the Comptroller & Auditor General of India for a further period of 5 years from 1-4-2012 to 31-03-2017 on the terms and conditions specified in the Annexure appended hereto.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. Kumaraswamy, Secretary (Finance).

Porvorim, 4th March, 2011.

ANNEXURE

Terms and Conditions

1. The CAG of India may suggest the appointment of a primary auditor to conduct the audit on his behalf and on the basis of directions/guidelines issued by him. Where such an auditor is appointed, the fees will be payable by the Institution to that auditor. Where such an auditor is not appointed, expenditure incurred by CAG of India in connection with the audit will be payable to him by the Institution.
2. In addition to audit to be conducted by the primary Auditors, where so appointed, CAG of India will have the right to conduct test check of the accounts and to comment on and supplement the report of the primary Auditor.
3. The CAG of India or any person appointed by him in connection with the audit, shall have the same rights, privileges and authority as the CAG has in connection with the audit of Government accounts.
4. The result of audit will be communicated by CAG or any person appointed by him to the Governing body who shall submit a copy of the report alongwith its observations to the Government. The CAG will also forward a copy of the report direct to Government.
5. The Audit entrusted to the CAG in public interest will be for a period of 5 years accounts from 1-4-2012 to 31-3-2017 in the first instance, subject to review of the arrangement after that period.
6. The scope, extent and manner of conducting audit shall be as decided by the CAG of India.
7. The CAG will have the right to report to Parliament/ /State Legislature the results of audit at his discretion.



Office of the Commissioner of Commercial Taxes

Notification

No. CCT/1-16/2010-2011/3317

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-rule (V) of Rules 11 of the Departmental Examinations Rules published under Notification No. 6/17/83-Fin (R&C), dated 20-4-1992, I, the Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, hereby declare the result of the Departmental Examination held from 20-9-2010 to 25-09-2010, in view of the Government approval conveyed vide letter No. 6/1/2010-Fin (R&C) dated 4-3-2011.

The following candidates have been declared successful at the Departmental Examination.

Sr. No.	Name of the candidates	Roll No.
1.	Smt. Darshani S. Dessai	1
2.	Shri Chandresh C. Kunkalkar	2

Vallabh K. Kamat, Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.

Panaji, 8th March, 2011.



Department of Labour

Order

No. 28/3/2011-LAB

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Narcinva Damodar Naik, Fatorda, Goa, and its Workmen Shri Loyal Fernandes, Shri Narendra Naik, Shri Abhay Jambaulikar, Shri Sagar Naik and Shri Abhit Naik, represented by the Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Narcinva Damodar Naik, Fatorda, Goa, in transferring Shri Loyal Fernandes, Shri Narendra Naik, Shri Abhay Jambaulikar, Shri Sagar Naik and Shri Abhit Naik vide order dated 03-03-2009, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).
Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

Notification

No. 28/1/2011-LAB

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Panaji-Goa on 22-02-2011 in reference No. IT/24/05 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st March, 2011.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/24/05

Shri Arjun Ram, rep by
Goa Trade & Commercial
Workers Union,
Velho Building,
Panaji, Goa. ... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Bombay Intelligence
Security (I) Ltd.,
Alto, Porvorim, Goa. ... Employer/Party II

Party I/Workman is represented by Adv. Suhas Naik.
Party II/Employer is represented by Adv. P. J. Kamat.

AWARD

(Passed on this 22nd day of February, 2011)

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa has referred the following dispute for adjudication.

- "(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Bombay Intelligence Security (India) Limited, Porvorim, in transferring Shri Arjun Ram, TKT No. H-2366, Security Supervisor, from Goa to Mumbai with effect from 03-09-2002, is legal and justified?
- (2) If not, what relief the Workman is entitled to?"

On receipt of the reference, IT/24/05 was registered. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which Party I has filed claim statement at Exb. 4. The Party II filed its written statement at Exb. 6. The rejoinder is at Exb. 10.

3. The Party I was working with Party II as a Security Supervisor. The Party I has stated that Party II was deducting certain amount every month from his salary towards provident fund. However, the same was not remitted to the Provident Fund Authority. The Party I has also stated he was not paid bonus since 1997. Hence, vide letter dated 20-8-02 the Party I complained to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Mapusa regarding non-payment of bonus, leave encashment and annual increments for the year 1997 till 2002 and deduction of provident fund which was allegedly not remitted.

4. The Party I has stated that on receipt of the said claim the Party II, as an act of revenge, called him to the office at Porvorim and forced him to withdraw the claim filed before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. When the Party I refused to withdraw the claim he was handed over the transfer order dated 3-9-02 transferring his services to Corporate office at Mumbai. The Party I has claimed that the said transfer is illegal and the said order was issued with malafide intention and as an act of revenge for filing the claim before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. The Party I has further stated that the Party II has refused employment to him w.e.f. 11-9-02 and that the dispute regarding the refusal of employment is also raised before Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Mapusa.

5. The Party I has stated that he is ready and willing to work at Goa branch with immediate effect and has prayed for revocation of order dated 3-9-02 and reinstated with full back wages and other consequential benefits. The Party I has also sought to direct the Party II to pay to him annual increments, unpaid bonus, leave encashment and provident fund deductions which were not remitted to Provident Fund Authorities from 1997-2002.

6. The Party II has stated that it has its Corporate Office at Mumbai and that its business including transfer etc. are carried out through Mumbai Office. The Party II has further stated that the Party I was appointed vide appointment letter dated 6-5-94 issued by the Corporate Office. The Party II was appointed as Security Supervisor for a period of 90 days and he was posted at Hyderabad. The services of the Party I were continued at Hyderabad till 2-10-1997 on the same terms and conditions. Vide letter dated 13-10-1997 he was relieved from Hyderabad and was directed to report to Goa branch.

7. The Party I reported to Goa branch on 20-10-94 and worked as such till 3-9-02. By letter

dated 3-9-02, issued by the Corporate Office, services of Party I were transferred to Corporate Office at Mumbai. The Party I received the said transfer order and made a remark that he would report to Mumbai Office on 13-9-02. The Party I continued to work at his last posting at Goa Glass Fibre, Colvale till 11-9-2002. He was relieved at the close of his duty hours on 11-9-02 to report to Mumbai on 13-9-02. The Party I did not report to Corporate Office Mumbai on 13-9-02 or anytime thereafter but addressed a letter dated 18-9-02 to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner alleging that he was refused employment. On receipt of the notice of the said letter the Party II filed its reply stating that the Party I was not refused employment but was transferred from Goa to Mumbai and that the Party I had failed to report to Mumbai Corporate Office. The Party II requested the Asstt. Labour Commissioner to advise the Party I to join duty to the Corporate office immediately.

8. The Party II has further stated that it had not refused employment to the Party I and that the Party I had himself absented from work. The Party II has also denied that it had not remitted contributions, deductions towards provident fund. The Party II has also denied that it had issued the transfer order as an act of revenge with malafide intentions. The Party II has stated that in terms of Clause 8 of the appointment letter the services of the Party I were transferable and that the services of the Party I were transferred to Mumbai as per the directions of BIS Corporate Office Mumbai. The Party II has stated that it is still willing to take the Party I in service if he reports to Mumbai immediately.

9. Based on the aforesaid pleadings following issues were framed.

1. Whether the Party I proves that the transfer from Goa to Mumbai w.e.f. 3-9-2002 is malafide, illegal and unjustified?
2. Whether the Party II proves that the dispute referred is not an industrial dispute within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act?
3. What relief? What order?

10. Ld. Adv. Shri S. Naik has filed written arguments (Exb. 38) on behalf of the Party I and Ld. Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat who is representing the Party II has filed written submissions at Exb. 37. I have perused the records and considered the arguments advanced by the respective advocates. My findings on the aforesaid issues are as under.

11. *Issue No. 1:* It is not in dispute that the Party II is a company engaged in providing security and intelligence services to the Industrial establishment. The appointment letter at Exb. 18 shows that the Party I had applied for the post of security supervisor. It is not in dispute that by letter dated 6-5-94 at Exb. 19 the Party I was appointed as security supervisor and was posted at Hyderabad. The said appointment letter indicates that the initial appointment was temporary which would automatically come to an end on expiry of 90 days. It is also not in dispute that the services of the Party I were continued at Hyderabad till October, 1997 without issuing any fresh letter of appointment or any letter of extension of the initial appointment. It may be mentioned that the Party II has stated that the services of the Party I were continued on the same terms and conditions. Though the Party I has denied that his services were continued on the same terms and conditions, there is absolutely no evidence on record to indicate that there was any change in the terms and service conditions incorporated in the letter of appointment at Exb. 19. In the absence of such evidence it can be safely inferred that the services of the Party I were continued on the same terms and conditions as stipulated in the letter of appointment at Exb. 19.

13. It may be mentioned that Clause 8 of the appointment letter at Exb. 19 stipulates that:

"During the period of temporary employment as set above and subsequent period. If extended or your services being confirmed your services are liable to be transferred to any other region/branch/establishment/unit of the company within India and also to any office branch/godown or warehouse/site or establishment of the clients of the company."

14. The aforesaid clause clearly and expressly provides that the services of the Party I could be transferred to any region/branch/unit of the company. The fact that the Party I was transferred from Hyderabad to Goa and that the Party I had reported to Goa office without raising any dispute, clearly, indicates that there was no change in the terms and conditions of the service at least as regards the transferability of the services.

15. It is well settled that when the transfer is an incidence of services and is made in administrative exigencies, it should not be interfered with except in rare cases such as when the transfer has been actuated with malafide or there is evidence of victimization. Hence, the question which falls for determination is whether the transfer of the

Party I was actuated with malice. In this context Ld. Adv. Suhas Naik has argued that Party I had raised claim dated 20-8-02 (Exb. 15) before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Mapusa for unpaid bonus, increment and refund of amount deducted towards provident fund contribution. He has argued that the management witness Shri Vilak Gawas has admitted in his cross examination that the Party II had received the notice in respect of the dispute raised by the Party I, Ld. Adv. Suhas Naik has further argued that the pleadings as well as the evidence of the Party I clearly indicates that on receipt of the notice the Party II had called the Party I at its Goa office and had given to him the transfer order wherein he was asked to make an endorsement. Ld. Adv. Shri Suhas Naik has argued that the evidence of the Party I clearly indicates that the said transfer order was issued in order to take revenge for filing claim application before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. He therefore contends that the said transfer order smacks of malafide intentions.

16. Learned Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat has argued that the power to transfer by way of deployment is to be presumed as administrative postulate and heavy burden lies on the employee to urge that the said power is a colorable exercise. He has relied upon the decision of the Bombay High Court in the case of *MSEB Kamgar Sena v/s M.D.M.S.P. G Co. Ltd.*, 2008 II CLR 445. Ld. Adv. Kamat has further argued that the Party I had received the transfer order on 3-9-02. He had agreed to report to Corporate office on 13-9-02. He did not report and raised a dispute vide letter dated 18-9-02, wherein he had not challenged the transfer order.

17. It may be mentioned here that in the claim statement as well as in the affidavit in evidence the Party I had stated that his services were transferred to Mumbai Corporate Office with malafide intentions and to take revenge for raising a claim dated 20-8-02 before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. The Party I has also deposed that on 3-9-02 the Party II had called him to its Goa office and had forced him to withdraw the claim application dated 20-8-02 filed before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. He has deposed that when he refused to withdraw the claim, the Party II handed over to him the transfer order dated 3-9-02 and told him that his services were transferred from Goa and asked him to report at Corporate Office at Mumbai. He has further deposed that he was threatened that in case he did not withdraw the claim he would not be paid wages.

18. It is to be noted that though the Party I had challenged the transfer he had not produced the transfer order. The said order was produced by the Party II and the same is at Exb. 20. In the course of the cross examination the Party I was shown the said transfer order (Exb. 20). The Party I has admitted that the said transfer order (Exb. 20) bears his signature at point A wherein he had acknowledged having received the same. The Party I has also admitted that he had made an endorsement on the transfer order stating that he would report to Corporate Office, Mumbai on 13-9-02. The Party I has stated that he was forced to make the said endorsement. It is pertinent to note that the Party I has admitted that he had not complained either to the police or to the head office or to any officer of the company, that he was forced to make the said endorsement on the transfer order. He has admitted that even in the letter at Exb. 16 addressed to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner he had not stated that the transfer order was issued forcibly or that he had been forced to make an endorsement. He has stated that such a statement was made in the letter/complaint dated 8-10-02.

19. It is pertinent to note that in his claim statement the Party I had not stated that he had made any endorsement on the transfer order or that he was threatened to make any such endorsement. On the contrary, in his rejoinder the Party I has specifically denied having made any such endorsement. It is also to be noted that even in the letter dated 18-9-2002 at Exb. 16 it was not alleged that the transfer order was issued forcibly or that the Party I was forced to make endorsement, that he would report to head office on 13-9-02. This letter was addressed to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner by the Party I through the Union, within a few days of the receipt of the transfer order. If at all the Party I was threatened to withdraw the claim or was forced to make the endorsement, the Union would have certainly raised the said issue in this letter. The fact that no such issue was raised in this letter leads to an inference that the statement made in the letter dated 8-10-02 was by way of an after thought and the same cannot be believed. There is no other evidence to prove that the Party I was threatened to withdraw the claim dated 20-8-2002 or that he was threatened to make an endorsement on the transfer order. In the absence of any such cogent and conclusive evidence it cannot be inferred that the transfer order was issued as an act of revenge for the consequence of raising claim dated 20-8-02.

20. In the absence of any cogent and conclusive evidence no inference of malafide or victimization can be drawn merely because the transfer order was preceded by the claim filed before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. As it has been held by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the case of *M.S.E.B. Kamgar Sena, Nagpur v/s Managing Director Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd., & ors. 2008 II CLR 444*, the power to transfer by way of deployment is to be presumed as administrative postulate. Moreover, when contrary is not proved, then very heavy burden lies on the employee to urge that said power is colorable exercise. It is further held that the administrative actions to be shown malafide are required to be illegal or otherwise vindictive by way of victimization. In the instant case, the transfer was an incidence of service. There is absolutely no evidence to show that the transfer order violates any service condition or that it was made due to oblique motives or it adversely affects the Party I in any manner whatsoever.

21. Ld. Adv. Shri S. Naik has argued that the Party I has been refused employment w.e.f. 11-09-2002. Ld. Adv. Shri Naik has argued that even if it is presumed that the Party I had not reported for duty at Mumbai office, the same would constitute misconduct and necessitate enquiry. Ld. Adv. Shri Naik has argued that the Party II has not conducted any such enquiry and as such the refusal of employment is illegal.

22. Ld. Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat has argued that on transfer, the Party I was required to report to the place of transfer and then raise a dispute. He has relied upon the Judgment of the Bombay High Court in the case of *Shivaji A. More v/s Estate Manager MSF Co. Ltd., & anr. reported in 1996 (72) FLR 447*.

23. In the case of *Shivaji More (Supra)*, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has held that "By now it is well settled that in matters of transfer, the employee who has been served with transfer order must first report to the place where he is transferred and thereafter make a representation or take out legal proceedings there against. The inconveniences arising from transfer have been held by the Supreme Court to be normal incidents of service, not justifying interference with transfer orders.

24. In the instant case the Party II has averred that the Party I was relieved at close of his duty hours on 11-9-02 to report to Mumbai on 13-9-02. The Party II has averred that the Party I had not reported to Corporate Office at Mumbai on 13-9-02 or anytime thereafter.

25. It need not be emphasized that the jurisdiction of the Tribunal is restricted to the points specifically referred for adjudication and to matters incidental thereto so it is not permissible to go beyond the terms of reference. In the instant case, the dispute referred to the Tribunal is whether the action of the Party II in transferring the Party I from Goa to Mumbai w.e.f. 3-9-2002 is legal and justified. The dispute regarding refusal of employment is neither referred nor it is incidental to the dispute which has been referred. This being the case, this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to decide the issue of refusal of employment. In terms of the order of reference, the only dispute which this Tribunal is required to adjudicate upon is the legality of the transfer order. Needless to state that the burden lay on the Party I to show that the transfer order was actuated with malice. As stated earlier, the Party I was to report to Corporate office on 13-9-2002. The Party I has admitted that he had not reported to the Corporate office on 13-9-2002. In the rejoinder at Exb. 10 the Party I has sought to justify his action by stating that at the time of issuing the termination order, the Party II had not made arrangements towards travelling and accommodation and had also not paid dearness and travelling allowance. In his cross examination, the Party I has stated that he had not reported to the place where he was transferred as his dues were not cleared. As held by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the case of *Shivaji More (Supra)*, such inconveniences which are normal incidents of transfer do not justify interference with transfer order.

26. The Party I has failed to prove that the transfer order was actuated with malice or is an act of unfair labour practice or victimization. There is also no evidence that the transfer order adversely affects the service conditions of the Party I. Under the circumstances the act of the Party II in transferring the Party II cannot be said to be illegal and unjust. Hence issue No. 1 is answered in negative.

27. Issue No. 2: The Party II has claimed that the dispute referred to the Tribunal is not an Industrial Tribunal within the meaning of Sec. 2(K) of the Act, Adv. Shri. P. J. Kamat has argued that to be an Industrial dispute, the dispute has to be espoused by the Union of the establishment or by a number of Workmen of the Party II. Any Union which is not recognized by the Party II or any outside Union which has no membership of majority of the employees of Party II cannot espouse the cause of the Workmen. He has relied upon the decision of

the Apex Court in the case of *Sindhu Resettlement Corporation Limited v/s IT of Gujarat & another* 1950-1983 vol. 12 SCLJ at page 534.

28. It is well settled that except the dispute governed by Sec. 2 A of the Act, any other dispute between an individual employee and his employer in order to be validly referred under Sec. 10 of the Act must be necessarily espoused by the Union to which the employee belongs or by the number of Workmen.

29. In the instant case vide letter dated 20-8-2002 (Exb. 15) the Workman through Goa Trade and Commercial Workers Union had raised a dispute regarding non-payment of dues. By letter dated 18-9-02 (Exb. 16) the Workman through Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union had raised the dispute about refusal of employment & in the letter dated 8-10-02 (Exb. 21) the Workman through Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union had alleged that the transfer order was illegal. The aforesaid documents at Exb. 15, 16 and 21 viz-a-viz the failure report at Exb. "17" clearly indicates that the dispute was espoused by the Union. Though the Party 2 had appeared before the conciliation officer, the Party 2 had not challenged the authority of the Union to represent the Workman as to espouse the dispute, on the contrary, the Party II had marked the copy of its reply dated 30-9-02 (Exb. 28) to the said Union. The contention that Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union is an "outside Union" or not recognized Union was raised for the first time in the written statement. However, the Party II has not adduced any evidence on this issue. The evidence of witness Shri Vilas Gauns does not indicate that Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union is an outside Union or that it is not recognized Union of the Party II, on the contrary he has stated in para 17 of his affidavit in rejoinder that through a copy of the letter endorsed to the Union, the Party II had advised Party I to report to the place of transfer. This statement negates the claim of the Party II that the said Union is not a recognized Union or is an "outside Union".

30. In Sindhu Resettlement Corporation (supra) the specific demand was for non-payment of retrenchment compensation and not the validity of retrenchment or claim for reinstatement. However, the dispute referred by the Government was whether the Workman should be reinstated with backwages. The Apex Court held that since no dispute about reinstatement was raised before the management, the State Government was not competent to refer a question of reinstatement as an industrial dispute. The dispute that the State

Government could have referred competently was the dispute relating to payment of retrenchment compensation. The Principle propounded in Sindhu Resettlement case (supra) is that an industrial dispute must exist as a fact before the reference can be made, and it has to be founded upon demand on the management.

31. In the instant case, as stated earlier, the Party I through the Union had raised demands regarding non-payment of dues, refusal of employment and illegal transfer. The appropriate, Government has referred the dispute only in respect of the transfer. Even before the reference was made, the dispute regarding the refusal of employment was raised vide letter dated 18-9-02 and the dispute relating to transfer was raised before the Party II vide letter dated 8-10-02 & the copy of which was also marked to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Mapusa. The conciliation officer had submitted failure report at Exb. 17, pertaining to the dispute in the matter of illegal refusal of employment and non-payment of legal dues, raised by the Party I through Union vide letter dated 20-8-02 and 18-9-02 respectively. The failure report also refers to letter/reply dated 8-10-02, wherein the Union had alleged that the transfer of the Workman, pending the dispute, was illegal and unjustified. On receipt of the failure report, the Government has referred the dispute which pertains only to the legality & justifiability of transfer of the Workman.

It is therefore evident that the dispute concerning transfer was indeed raised by the Workman and as such the Government was competent to refer the dispute concerning the transfer of the Workman. Hence the issue No. 2 is answered in negative.

Under the circumstances and in view of discussion supra, I pass the following order:

ORDER

The action of the management of M/s. Bombay Intelligence Security (India) Ltd., Porvorim, in transferring Shri Arjun Ram, TKT No. H-2366, Security Supervisor, from Goa to Mumbai with effect from 03-09-2002 is held to be legal and justified. The Party I is not entitled for any relief.

No order as to cost. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. Prabhu dessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
cum-Labour Court.

OFFICIAL GAZETTE — GOVT. OF GOA

SERIES II No. 53

31ST MARCH, 2011

Inspectorate of Factories and Boilers, Institute of Safety, Occupational Health and Environment

Order

No. 2/318/ADM-IFB/2011/4277

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/19(2)/94/345 dated 11-02-2011, the Governor of Goa is pleased to promote Shri Ivan Francis Rodrigues on regular basis as Inspector of Boilers (Group "B" Gazetted) in the Inspectorate of Factories and Boilers, Altinho, Panaji, Goa, in the Pay Band (Rs. 9,300-34,800)+Grade Pay Rs. 4,600/- with effect from the date he takes charge of his new assignment.

Shri Ivan Francis Rodrigues, shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The pay of the Officer shall be fixed in terms of provisions of F.R. 22(I) (a) (2) and he shall exercise option within one month from the date of issue of this order.

The expenditure towards pay and allowances shall be debited to the Budget Head "2230—Labour and Employment, 01—Labour, 102—Working Condition and Safety, 02—Strengthening of Factories and Boilers Inspectorate (Plan), 01 Salaries".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. M. Paranjape, Chief Inspector of Factories & Boilers, ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 17th March, 2011.



Department of Panchayati Raj and Community Development

Directorate of Panchayats



Notice of Election and Public Notice

No. 19/35/DP/BYE-ELN/PF/08/2492

Form 1

[See Rule 10(1)]

Notice is hereby given that:-

An election will be held to elect the member of the below mentioned Village Panchayat on 10th April, 2011.

Sr. No.	Name of V. P.	Ward No.	Reserved for OBC/ST/Women		
I	Tiswadi	1	2	3	4
I	Tiswadi	1.	V. P. St. Andre, (Goa Velha), Tiswadi	VIII
II	Bardez	1.	V. P. Ucassaim- -Paliem-Punola, Bardez	V
III	Bicholim	1.	V. P. Ona- -Maulinguem- -Curchirem, Bicholim	VI	Reserved for Women.
IV	Salcete	1.	V. P. Macasana, Salcete	IV
2.	V. P. Curtorim, Salcete	III	Reserved for ST.		
V	Sanguem	1.	V. P. Rivona, Sanguem	VI	Reserved for OBC.

Nominations may be delivered by a candidate to the Returning Officer in his office between such hours as to be fixed by the State Election Commission.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. K. Jha, Secretary Panchayats.

Panaji, 17th March, 2011.



Department of Personnel

Order

No. 6/2/2005-PER(P.F.)

Shri M. B. Kumthekar, Custodian of Evacuee Property and holding additional charge of the post of Member Secretary, Goa State Commission for Women, shall also hold charge of the post of Secretary & Budget Controlling Authority of Goa State Human Rights Commission, in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 15th March, 2011.

Order

No. 6/2/2002-PER(Part II)

Shri Deepak M. Bandekar, Under Secretary (Home-I) shall hold charge of the newly created post of Assistant Director of Mines, Directorate of Mines & Geology in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

Memorandum

No. 5/1/2010-PER

Read: 1. Memorandum No. 5/8/2003-PER dated 20-06-2006.

2. Memorandum No. 5/1/2010-PER dated 14-02-2011.

The Tentative Seniority of Selection Grade Officers of Goa Civil Service was circulated vide Memorandum No. 5/1/2010-PER dated 14-02-2011. All the Selection Grade Officers were requested to scrutinize the list and to submit their objections with regard to seniority assigned to them by 28-02-2011. Since no objections are received, the final seniority is hereby determined as follows:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	date of appointment	Date of retirement	Remarks
1.	Shri W.V.R. Murthy	19-08-1985	31-07-2019	
2.	Shri Pratapsingh Meena	29-09-1980	30-11-2011	
3.	Shri D. A. Hawaldar	15-07-1988	30-09-2020	
4.	Shri P. Sreenivasa Reddy	17-06-1988	31-05-2022	
5.	Shri R. Mihir Vardhan	23-05-1988	31-10-2020.	

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

Department of Public Health**Order**

No. 4/14/2002-II/PHD

Read: Memorandum No. 4/14/2002-II/PHD dated 14-03-2011.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/30(34)/89/348 dated 14-02-2011, Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Pandarinath Manohar Audi to the post of Lecturer in Surgery in Goa Medical College, Bambolim on temporary basis in the Pay Band—3 ₹ 15,600-39,100+Grade Pay of ₹ 6,600/- with immediate effect and as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Dr. Pandarinath Manohar Audi shall be on probation for a period of two years.

Dr. Pandarinath Manohar Audi has been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

The appointment is made subject to the verification of his character and antecedents. In the event of any adverse matter notice by the Government on verification of character and antecedents, his service will be terminated.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 17th March, 2011.

Addendum

No. 5/2/2006-I/PHD

Read: Order No. 5/2/2006-I/PHD dated 14-03-2011.

In the Government Order dated 14-03-2011 referred to above the word "OBC" shall be added against Sr. No. 7, Shri Mangesh B. Chodankar as below:

7) Shri Mangesh B. Chodankar, OBC.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

Department of Revenue

Order

No. 35/11/2004-RD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (Central Act 2 of 1899), the Government of Goa hereby authorize the Company as specified in column 1 of the Schedule appended hereto, to consolidate the Stamp Duty chargeable under Articles 19 and 35 of Schedule-I(A) of the Indian Stamp (Goa Amendment) Act, 2001 on the instruments as shown against the said Company in column 2 of the said Schedule. The said consolidated stamp of ₹ 1,82,660/- is duly deposited by the Company vide Challan No. 4/RD dated 17-03-2011 in the State Bank of India, Treasury Branch, Panaji.

SCHEDULE

Name of the Company	Particulars of instruments
1	2
M/s. Sesa Goa Ltd. Sesa Ghor, 20, EDC Complex, Patto, Panaji, Goa 403 001	18266 Share Certificate @ ₹ 10/- each = ₹ 1,82,660/-

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).
Porvorim, 21st March, 2011.

Order

No. 22/10/2008-RD

Whereas, the Government of Goa, vide Notification No. 22/10/2008-RD dated 22-07-2008, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 18 dated 31-07-2008, notified that the land specified in the Schedule thereof (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for construction of an extension to the school building of Government High School, Shristhal, Canacona (hereinafter referred to as the "said public purpose");

And whereas, the Government of Goa, considered the report made by the Collector under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the said Act and on being satisfied that the said land is needed for the said public purpose, vide Notification

No. 22/10/2008-RD dated 18-09-2009, issued under Section 6 of the said Act and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 26 dated 24-09-2009, declared that the said land is required for the said public purpose.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894), the Government of Goa hereby directs the Collector, South Goa District, Margao, to take the order for acquisition of the said land.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).
Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

Order

No. 22/16/2009-RD

Whereas, the Government of Goa, vide Notification No. 22/16/2009-RD dated 10-11-2009, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 34 dated 19-11-2009, notified that the land specified in the Schedule thereof (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for construction of service road from Model Building to Dona Paula along the Miramar-Dona Paula road at Caranzalem in Panaji City of Tiswadi Taluka (hereinafter referred to as the "said public purpose");

And whereas, the Government of Goa, considered the report made by the Collector under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the said Act and on being satisfied that the said land is needed for the said public purpose, vide Notification No. 22/16/2009-RD dated 23-08-2010, issued under Section 6 of the said Act, and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 23 dated 02-09-2010, declared that the said land is required for the said public purpose.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894), the Government of Goa hereby directs the Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa to take the order for acquisition of the said land.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).
Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

Notification

No. 23/28/2009-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 23/28/2009-RD dated 14-09-2009 published on pages 825 to 826 of Series II No. 31 of the Official Gazette dated 29-10-2009 and in two local newspapers (1) "Navhind Times" and (2) "Gomantak" both dated 23-10-2009, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), and subsequently Corrigendum No. 23/28/2009-RD dated 07-04-2010 published on page 64 of Series II No. 3 of the Official Gazette, dated 15-04-2010 and in two local newspapers (1) "Navhind Times" and (2) "Gomantak" both dated 10-04-2010 that the land, specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. L.A. for construction of Siolim distributary from ch. 1.66 kms. to ch. 5.455 kms. in Siolim Village of Bardez Taluka (addl. area).

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer, (N), Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, Karaswada, Colvale road, Bardez-Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector, for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said the Special Land Acquisition Officer, (N), Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, Karaswada, Colvale road, Bardez-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez

Village: Siolim

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
243/2 p	O: Shabi Atmaram Goltekar.	25
232./14 (p)	O: Shabi Atmaram Goltekar.	25
232/21 (p)	O: Vithal Deurushi Naik Banaulikar. O: Venketesh Deurushi Naik Banaulikar.	110
232/20 (p)	O: Pundalik Matru Naik.	80
232/19 (p)	O: Pascoal Carvalho.	5
231/1 (p)	O: Tiafulu Fernandes.	145
222/	O: Francisco Pinto De Menezes.	180
189/6 (p)	O: Anton Fernandes.	385
186/12 (p)	O: Alex Caitan Fernandes. O: Gregori Fernandes. O: Matias Fernandes. O: Maria F. Fernandes. O: Maria Eloria Fernandes.	1965
198/1-Cp	O: Communidade.	35
198/1-Ap	O: Philomena Melania Pinto.	895
198/1-Bp	O: Martin Sequeira.	630
197/11A-p	O: Philomina Melania Pinto.	300
201/3 p	O: Vitorin F. Terreiva.	40
201/4 p	O: Kamalakant P. Nagwekar.	20
201/5 P	O: Jhon Gonsalves. O: Monica Santan Fernandes. O: Vitorino F. Terreiva.	5
201/12 p	O: Tilia Terreiva.	5
202/1 P	O: Mgr. Ignatius Lobo. O: Mr. John Lobo. O: Mr. Anthony Lobo. O: Mr. Giriaco Lobo.	40
198/0	O: Communidade. O: Philomina Melania Pinto. O: Martin Sequeira.	5875

Boundaries :

North : S. No. 243/2, 232/3, 5, 6, 231/1, 222, 186/12, 198/1, 201/3, 201/45.

South : S. No. 243/3, 232/21, 20, S. No. 19, 222, 231/1, 186/12, 201/47, 17, 197/11, 11-A, 8.

East : S. No. 244/2, 243/5, 189/1.

West : S. No. 232/14, 222, 281/1, 186/1, 5, 198.

Total: 10765

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).
Porvorim, 18th March, 2011.

Notification

No. 23/23/2010-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 23/23/2010-RD dated 05-08-2010 published on pages 474 to 475 of Series II No. 20 of the Official Gazette dated 12-08-2010 and in two local newspapers (1) "Navhind Times" dated 07-08-2010 and (2) "Tarun Bharat" dated 07-08-2010, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for const. of road to Langarbag in V. P. Torxem in Pernem Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector, S.D.O. & L.A.O., Pernem-Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector, for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said the Dy. Collector, S.D.O. & L.A.O., Pernem-Goa, till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Pernem

Village: Torxem

Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
72 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	655
73 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	165
84 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	10
74 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	250
95 part O:	1. Purushottam Ramnath Keni. 2. Education Department, Panaji, Government of Goa 1404 sq. mts.	592

1	2	3
104 part O:	1. Purushottam Ramnath Keni. 2. Exe. Eng. D. XII (PHE), PWD, Porvorim, 228 sq. mts.	445
115 part O:	1. Purushottam Ramnath Keni. 2. Exe. Eng. D. XVII (PHE), PWD, Porvorim, 965 sq. mts.	505
116 part O:	1. Purushottam Ramnath Keni. 2. Exe. Eng. D. XVII (PHE), PWD, Porvorim, 110 sq. mts.	905
O.T:	1. Shantaram Ganesh Toraskar. 2. Nhanu Raghunath Toraskar. 3. Kamalabai Vithal Shirodkar & Dattaram Shirodkar. 4. Pandharinath Narayan Shirodkar. 5. Sakharam Kashiram Keshav Toraskar. 6. Uttam Shankar Zantye. 7. Raghunath Laxman Kavathankar. 8. Ladu Vishnu Zantye. 9. Manguesh Keshav Toraskar. 10. Ravji Babu Narayan Gangaram & Govind Rajaram Tari. 11. Vithal Laxman Bhagat. 12. Mohan Vithal Naik.	
118 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	745
120 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	995
121 part O:	1. Purushottam Ramnath Keni. Exe. Eng. D. XVII (PHE), PWD, Porvorim, 666 sq. mts.	135
122 part O:	1. Purushottam Ramnath Keni. 2. Exe. Eng. D. XVII (PHE), PWD Porvorim, 396 sq. mts.	403
T:	Nhanu Raghunath Toraskar (Bharad Land).	
O.T:	1. One Primary School. 2. Inas Luis Fernandes. 3. Anton Xavier De Souza. 4. Anton Caitan Fernandes. 5. Rosin Tomas De Souza. 6. Paulu Philip Fernandes.	
123 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	830
124 part O:	Purushottam Ramnath Keni.	470

Boundaries :

North : Maharashtra State Boundary.

South : Road, S. No. 74, 120, 116, 115.

OFFICIAL GAZETTE — GOVT. OF GOA

SERIES II No. 53

31ST MARCH, 2011

1	2	3
East	: Maharashtra State Boundary, S. No. 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 72, 73, Road.	
West	: S. No. 118, 116, 115, 104, 95, 84, 74, Road.	
Total: 7105		

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).

Porvorim, 18th March, 2011.

—————
Notification

No. 22/18/2010-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/18/2010-RD dated 18-06-2010 published on page 265 of Series II No. 13 of the Official Gazette, dated 24-06-2010 and in two newspapers (1) "Gomantak" dated 23-06-2010 and (2) "Herald" dated 23-06-2010, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for const. of Government Primary School, Toncawada, Jua, St. Estevam in Tiswadi Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector, S.D.O., Sub-Division, Panaji, to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said Dy. Collector, S.D.O., Sub-Division, Panaji till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Tiswadi *Village: Jua*

Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

1	2	3
---	---	---

82/13 p	O: Comunidade of Jua. T: Shri Pedro Antonio Dias.	442
---------	--	-----

Boundaries :

North : S. No. 82/10.

South : S. No. 82/13, 2.

East : S. No. 82/13.

West : S. No. 82/10.

Total: 442

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).

Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.

—————
Notification

No. 23/11/2010-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 23/11/2010-RD dated 27-08-2010 published on Official Gazette, Series II No. 24 dated 09-09-2010 and in two newspapers (1) "Herald" dated 02-09-2010 and (2) "Gomantak" dated 02-09-2010, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was needed for the public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for const. of road at Poriebhat, Odleabhat and Manzo in V. P. Verna in Loutulim Constituency in Salcete Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also hereby appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer, S.I.P., W.R.D., Gogal Margao-Goa to perform the funtions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer, S.I.P., W.R. D., Gogal, Margao-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete	Village: Verna	
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
51/5 part O:	Maria Sylvia Pinho.	50
51/6 part O:	Inacio de Cruaz.	230
	<i>Other rights</i>	
	House owned by	
	H. No. 150/Santu Alvares.	
	H. No. 99 Rosado Pinto.	
61/1 part O:	1. Benedith Pinho. 2. Esperanca Pinho. 3. Luiza Gama. 4. Purificacao Vaz.	203
	<i>Other rights</i>	
	House owned by	
	H. No. E/98 Esperence Pinho.	
	H. No. E/97 Benedito Pinho.	
	House owned by	
	1. Benedito Pinho.	
61/3 part O:	Ernesto De Souza.	332
	House owned by	
	H. No. E/95 Piedade Pinho.	
61/4 part O:	1. Fernando do Amaral Perres. 2. Maria Flavia dos Pobres Xavier Carvalho.	280
	<i>Other rights</i>	
	H. No. E/93 Joao Peres.	
	H. No. E/94 Sebastiao Peres.	
	H. No. E/94-A Inas Peres.	
61/5 part O:	Maria Joseph Piedade Fhereira.	212
	<i>Other rights</i>	
	H. No. E/92	
	Joaquim Sebastiao Fereira.	
61/6 part O:	Ernesto Agnelo D'Souza.	1443

1	2	3
<i>Other rights</i>		
H. No. E/91 Caetano Pinho.		
H. No. E/92		
1) Lourenca Alvares.		
H. No. E/92		
2) Joao Antonio Alvares.		
60/12 part O:	Ernesto de Souza.	88
146/8 part O:	Simao Pereira.	271
146/6 part O:	Comunidade of Verna.	25
146/9 part O:	Smt. Caetana Faria e Gama.	140
146/11 part O:	1. Joao Costa. 2. Camilo Costa. 3. Caitano Costa. 4. Francisco D'Costa. 5. Milagrina Fernandes e Silveira.	136
<i>Other rights</i>		
House owned by		
1,2) Jose Rebello.		
House owned by		
3) Filipe Fernandes.		
334/1 part O:	Joao Agnelo Moniz.	12
334/3 part O:	1. Lourente Victor Fernandes. 2. Smt. Conceicao Fernandes. 3. Barbina Dias. 4. Alberto Navil Tolantino Fernandes.	52
334/4 part O:	1. Diago Fernandes. 2. Ventura Vaz.	52
334/10 part O:	1. Vicente Joao Fernandes. 2. Lucin Fernandes. 3. Pedrin Fernandes. 4. Albin Fernandes.	50
334/13 part O:	Rosa Fernandes.	56
334/15 part O:	Lourencio Pereira.	52
<i>Boundaries :</i>		
North :	S. No. 51/5, 61/1, 5, 60/12.	
South :	S. No. 51/6, 61/3, 6, Road.	
East :	S. No. 51/5, 6, 61/1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and Nalla.	
West :	S. No. 60/12, Nalla, 61/6, 5, 4, 3, 1 and 51/5, 6.	
North :	S. No. 146/6.	
South :	S. No. 146/12.	
East :	S. No. 146/8, 6, 9, 11.	
West :	S. No. 146/8, 6, 9, 11.	

1	2	3
North : Road.		
South : S. No. 334/17.		
East : S. No. Road, 334/1, 4, 3, 10, 13, 15.		
West : S. No. 334/1, 3, 4, 10, 13, 15.		
	Total: 3684	

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I/II).
Porvorim, 22nd March, 2011.



Department of Sports & Youth Affairs

Directorate of Sports & Youth Affairs

Order

No. 2/07/(780)/10/DSYA/Adm/8465

The Government of Goa is hereby pleased for engaging the services of Shri P. Hazarika, IAS (Retd), from Guwahati, Assam, presently working as (Officer on Special Duty) in the Sports Authority of Assam, as Advisor at the Goa National Games Secretariat, in connection with the organization of the National Games 2011 in Goa. The terms of his appointment shall be as under:

1. His deployment shall be as Advisor for National Games Secretariat 2011 initially for a period of one year, from the date he initially reports.
2. Conduct, review and take meetings periodically in connection with National Games 2011, whenever required.
3. He shall offer advice to Goa Government, SAG, and National Games Secretariat at the case may be, on matters like creation of infrastructure, organizational aspects of the National Games, Procurement of high quality equipments for various games and related materials.
4. For the purpose of providing expert advice, he shall be available in Goa at least for a week in a month. During his stay in Goa, he shall be provided with lodging in Government Circuit House or any other suitable accommodation as per his status in Panaji and internal transport while on duty, free of cost,

including to and fro journey from the airport/reimbursement of medium size taxi bills.

5. He shall be paid Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) as remuneration, during the months he visit Goa for rendering advice for at least one week in the said month.
6. He shall be eligible for reimbursement of Air fare from Guwahati (Assam) to Goa and back by economy class for his travelling.
7. This contract may be terminated by giving one month's notice on either sides or refund of one month's remuneration.

The expenditure on his remuneration and other expenditure shall be borne by the Sports Authority of Goa, from the funds provided for National Games Secretariat 2011.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. Susana de Sousa, Director of Sports & Youth Affairs & ex officio Jt Secretary.

Panaji, 21st March, 2011.



Department of Water Resources

Office of the Chief Engineer

Notification

No. 4/4/EO-WRD/2010-11/1021

Read: Notification No. 19-2/CE-IRRG/EO/435 dated 24-02-2000, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No 49 dated 2-03-2000.

In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation Act, 1999 (Goa Act 6 of 1999) and in supersession of the Government Notification No. 4/4/CE-WRD-EO/634 dated 24-11-2010, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri B. Vijayan, IAS, Principal Secretary (Water Resources), Government of Goa, as the Chairman of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. T. Nadkarni, Chief Engineer, WRD & ex officio Additional Secretary.

Panaji, 24th March, 2011.

Department of Women & Child Development

Directorate of Women & Child Development

Corrigendum

F. No. 2-135-2009/ICDS/DW&CD/1439

Read: Notification No. 2-135-2009/ICDS/DW&CD-Sabla/1222 dated 14-03-2011.

In the aforesaid notification, the name appeared at Sr. No. 5 i.e. Block Public Officer, as Member in the second para stands deleted.

The rest of the contents of the above Notification shall remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (WCD).

Panaji, 24th March, 2011.

**Government Printing Press**

Subscription Rates Notice

The subscribers to the Official Gazette are kindly reminded that their present subscription term ends on the 31st March, 2011, being the end of financial year.

In case they wish to continue to be subscribers for the ensuing financial year 2011-2012 they have to renew their subscriptions from 1st April, 2011.

Subscriptions also can be opened for half year i.e. from 1st April, 1st July or 1st October or for any

quarter, beginning on 1st April, 1st July, 1st October or 1st January.

Renewal of subscription from 1st April should be effected on or before 31st March, 2011 in order to avoid interruption in the despatch of copies of the Gazette. It should be noted that, in case the subscription is not opened/renewed before the commencement of the period to which it refers, the subscribers will be entitled to receive copies of the Gazette only from the date the subscription is actually opened/renewed.

Official Gazette is now available through e-mail for an annual subscription of Rs. 200/- (Rupees two hundred only).

The subscription charges are accepted either in cash, postal order, demand draft or cheques (subject to clearance) drawn only on State Bank of India, Panaji, in favour of the Director, Printing and Stationery, Panaji-Goa.

The payment for subscription will also be accepted at the Margao Branch of this Office situated in the Comunidade Building at Margao.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

(Within the Union of India)

	All 3 Series	Series I	Series II	Series III
	Rs. P.	Rs. P.	Rs. P.	Rs. P.
For any quarter	900.00	460.00	350.00	175.00
(Postage)	60.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
For half year	1750.00	920.00	700.00	350.00
(Postage)	60.00	30.00	30.00	30.00
For any period exceeding				
6 months up to one year...	3450.00	1840.00	1400.00	700.00
(Postage)	110.00	60.00	60.00	60.00

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE-Rs. 20.00